

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/018,332	12/13/2001	Jorg Hofmann	Mo-6843/LeA 33,555	7899	
157 75	90 03/28/2003				
BAYER POLYMERS LLC 100 BAYER ROAD			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205			COONEY, JOHN M		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711	5	
	DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003		-		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/018,332	HOFMANN ET AL.			
danimary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE AND	John m Cooney	1			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appr Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	Orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.130 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will be a failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, of the period by the Office later than three months after the mailing of the status. Status	Is SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM ely filed will be considered timely.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
(29) This setter: makes					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Exposition of Claims	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45	secution as to the merits is 3 O.G. 213.			
4) Claim(s) 11-18 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or el					
Application Papers	lection requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted	l am halfall and a second				
Through the feducial that any objection to the de-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. 12) The earth and a second second corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	ner.				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign prio a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	onty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	ve been received in Application N	lo			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority description application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copies not as a con-				
A claim for domestic price	ority under 35 LLS C & 140(a) (I				
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic price	nal application has been received ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and	a provisional application). d. /or 121			
	oo == and				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2&4</u>. 	4) Interview Summary (PTO 5) Notice of Informal Patent 6) Other:	-413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	, <u> </u>				

Application No.

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Thompson et al.(6,008,263).

Thompson et al. (6,008,263) discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates and polyether polyols prepared in the presence of double metal cyanide catalyst and having molecular weights encompassing of those claimed by applicants (see the entire document). Thompson et al.'s disclosure is encompassing of the distributions and amounts of the various blocks in the polyols used as described by applicants' claims, and no distinction is seen to exist between the

Art Unit: 1711

reference's disclosed flexible foams and the foams defined by applicants' claimed foaming techniques as they are both directed towards flexible foams and it is the composition which defines the product being claimed in the instant case.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lear et al.(5,958,994).

Lear et al. discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates and polyether polyols prepared in the presence of double metal cyanide catalyst and having molecular weights encompassing of those claimed by applicants (see the entire document). Lear et al.'s disclosure is encompassing of the distributions and amounts of the various blocks in the polyols used as described by applicants' claims, and no distinction is seen to exist between the reference's disclosed flexible foams and the foams defined by applicants' claimed foaming techniques as they are both directed towards flexible foams and it is the composition which defines the product being claimed in the instant case.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beisner et al.(6,066,683).

Beisner et al. discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates and polyether polyols prepared in the presence of double metal cyanide catalyst and having molecular weights encompassing of those claimed by applicants (see the entire document). Beisner et al.'s disclosure is encompassing of the

Art Unit: 1711

Page 4

distributions and amounts of the various blocks in the polyols used as described by applicants' claims, and no distinction is seen to exist between the reference's disclosed flexible foams and the foams defined by applicants' claimed foaming techniques as they are both directed towards flexible foams and it is the composition which defines the product being claimed in the instant case.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hager (5,648,559).

Hager discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates and polyether polyols prepared in the presence of double metal cyanide catalyst and having molecular weights encompassing of those claimed by applicants (see the entire document). Hager's disclosure is encompassing of the distributions and amounts of the various blocks in the polyols used as described by applicants' claims, and no distinction is seen to exist between the reference's disclosed flexible foams and the foams defined by applicants' claimed foaming techniques as they are both directed towards flexible foams and it is the composition which defines the product being claimed in the instant case.

Claims 11-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinkelaar et al. (5,668,191)

Kinkelaar et al. discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams prepared from isocyanates and polyether polyols prepared in the presence of double metal

Art Unit: 1711

Page 5

cyanide catalyst and having molecular weights encompassing of those claimed by applicants (see the entire document). Kinkelaar et al.'s disclosure is encompassing of the distributions and amounts of the various blocks in the polyols used as described by applicants' claims, and no distinction is seen to exist between the reference's disclosed flexible foams and the foams defined by applicants' claimed foaming techniques as they are both directed towards flexible foams and it is the composition which defines the product being claimed in the instant case.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John m Cooney whose telephone number is 703-308-2433. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, james seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5665.

John m Cooney

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1711